V ,	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/449,782	MCKEETH, JAMES
	Examiner	Art Unit
·	Mary J. Steelman	2191
All Participants:	Status of Application: per	nding
(1) Mary J. Steelman.	(3)	
(2) <u>Dan C. Hu, Reg. No. 40,025</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 28 August 2006	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	ant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed:		
1		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.	٠.	
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. Th of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summar 	e examiner will provide a writte record of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
	•	
Mong Steetman 8.28.06		
	t/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)	

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner attempted to clarify the claim limitations: "wherein the command line utility is a utility executable from a command line prompt". Mr. Hu said it meant that a command line utility was 'capable of executing from a command line prompt'. The discussion failed to clarify for Examiner whether claims are drawn to a command line utility entered at a command line prompt, provided in a shell teminal interface or some other type of user interface..